

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

P

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria. Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/656,470	09/05/2003	Arvind Halliyal	G0533	8691
75	90 07/21/2004		EXAMINER	
Thomas W. Adams			TRAN, LONG K	
Renner, Otto, Boisselle & Sklar, LLP			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Nineteenth Floor 1621 Euclid Avenue			2818	
Cleveland, OH 44115-2191			DATE MAILED: 07/21/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	10/656,470	HALLIYAL ET AL.					
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Long K. Tran	2818					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED une 28, 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (*condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Apper Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application in the same of this application and the same of the s	cation. A proper re ch places the appli	ply to a cation in				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. \$	See MPEP				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The da have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moleaned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate ex the final Office action; or	tension fee under (2) as set forth in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a)   they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (	(see NOTE below);					
(b)  they raise the issue of new matter (see Note I	oelow);						
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or	simplifying the				
(d)  they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clai	ms.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely file	d amendment				
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does No	OT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected:		·					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. $\square$ The drawing correction filed on is a) $\square$ app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.					

David Nelms
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2800

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

10. Other: \_\_\_\_

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The claim structure is not patentable over Ma et al. (US Patent No. 6,407,435) for the reasons stated in the Final Office Rejection.

David Nelms
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2800